arXiv:1105.4935v1 [math.RT] 25 May 2011

GENERIC REPRESENTATION THEORY OF THE UNIPOTENT UPPER TRIANGULAR GROUPS

MICHAEL CRUMLEY

Abstract. It is generally believed (and for the most part is probably true) that Lie theory, in contrast to the characteristic zero case, is insufficient to tackle the representation theory of algebraic groups over prime characteristic fields. However, in this paper we show that, for a large and important class of unipotent algebraic groups (namely the unipotent upper triangular groups U_n), and under a certain hypothesis relating the characteristic p to both n and the dimension d of a representation (specifically, $p \geq \max(n, 2d)$, Lie theory is completely sufficient to determine the representation theories of these groups. To finish, we mention some important analogies (both functorial and cohomological) between the characteristic zero theories of these groups and their 'generic' representation theory in characteristic p.

1. Introduction

In this paper we extend a result for representations of the Additive group G_a given in [7] and the Heisenberg group U_3 given in [2]. Namely, we give an intimate connection between the characteristic zero representation theory of the unipotent upper triangular groups U_n, and their characteristic $p > 0$ theory when p greater than or equal to both n and twice the dimension of a representation. In particular, such representations are always given rise to by a commuting product of Lie algebra representations, one Lie algebra representation for each of its Frobenius layers, and conversely and such collection of Lie algebra representations induces a representation of U_n in this fashion.

The essence of what we will be proving in the present paper is best illustrated by the obvious analogy between the following two theorems. The first is very well known, the second not quite so much.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Every representation of the Heisenberg group (i.e. U_3) over k is of the form*

$$e^{xX+yY+(z-xy/2)Z}$$

where X, Y and Z are nilpotent matrices over k satisfying $Z = [X, Y]$ and $[Z, X] = [Z, Y] = 0$. Further, any such collection X, Y, Z gives a representation of U_3 over k according to this formula.

Theorem 1.2. *(see theorem 1.3 of [2]) Let k be a field of characteristic p, and suppose $p \geq 2d$. Then every d-dimensional representation of the Heisenberg group*

Date: October 2010.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G05, 20G15.

Key words and phrases. Generic Representation Theory, Unipotent Algebraic Groups, Additive Group, Heisenberg Group.

over k is of the form

$$e^{xX_0+yY_0+(z-xy/2)Z_0}e^{x^pX_1+y^pY_1+(z^p-x^py^p/2)Z_1}$$
$$\dots e^{x^{p^m}X_m+y^{p^m}Y_m+(z^{p^m}-x^{p^m}y^{p^m}/2)Z_m}$$

where $X_0, Y_0, Z_0, X_1, Y_1, Z_1 \dots, X_m, Y_m, Z_m$ is a collection of $d \times d$ nilpotent matrices over k satisfying

1. *$[X_i, Y_i] = Z_i$ and $[Z_i, X_i] = [Z_i, Y_i] = 0$ for every i*
2. *whenever $i \neq j$, X_i, Y_i and Z_i commute with all of X_j, Y_j and Z_j*

Further, any such collection of $d \times d$ matrices gives a representation of the Heisenberg group over k according to the above formula.

The morale: for fixed d and large enough p, the d-dimensional representation theory of U_3 over a field of characteristic p is in perfect analogy with the representation theory of U_3^∞ over a field of characteristic zero (countable infinite product of copies of U_3). Further, this analogy is functorial; the characterization of morphisms between modules over U_3 in characteristic zero carries over to a characterization of morphisms for U_3 over a field of characteristic p, assuming that both modules are of dimension $d \geq p/2$. Finally, this analogy is cohomological, and leads to some interesting generic cohomology results, in a sense to be discussed later.

Let k be a field, and let U_n denote the space of all $n \times n$ upper triangular unipotent matrices over k, i.e. those of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ & 1 & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & x_{n-1,n} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\mathfrak{u}_n$ denote the Lie algebra of U_n, which we identify as the space of all $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrices over k, and likewise $\mathfrak{gl}_d$ the Lie algebra of GL_d. In what follows, if $M(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a matrix whose entries are polynomials in the commuting variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$, then $M(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{[m]} \overset{\text{def}}{=} M(x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m)$. For example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x+3y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{[3]} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x^3+3y^3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that, if $M(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a representation of U_n with corresponding comodule structure $V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes A$, then $M(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{[p]}$ has comodule structure given by the composition $V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes A \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [p]} V \otimes A$, where $[p]$ denotes the linear map $A \to A$ which sends each monomial of A to its p^{th} power (we argue in lemma 5.3 that this new map does indeed give a representation of U_n). Note that $[p]$ is not quite the same as a Frobenius twist; we are raising the powers of the variables only, not the scalars. We can now state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and suppose that $p \geq max(n, 2d)$.*

1. *let $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_m : \mathfrak{u}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_d$ be a collection of Lie algebra homomorphisms such that*
    (a) *$\phi_i(X)$ is a nilpotent matrix for all $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n$ and $0 \leq i \leq m$*

(b) *For all $i \neq j$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_n$, $\phi_i(X)$ commutes with $\phi_j(Y)$*
Then the formula

$$\Phi(g) = e^{\phi(\log(g))} e^{\phi_1(\log(g))^{[p]}} \dots e^{\phi_m(\log(g))^{[p^m]}}$$

defines a valid d-dimensional representation of U_n.

(2) *Any valid d-dimensional representation of U_n over k is of the form given by part (1).*

We ask the reader to note that this only a theorem about $p >> n$ and dimension; for a fixed prime p and large enough dimension, the analogy between characteristic zero and characteristic p completely breaks down. We direct the interested reader to section 4 of [2] for an example of a 10 dimensional representation of U_3 over the field $\mathbb{Z}_2$ which is not of the above given form.

We shall prove the second part of our main theorem first, which shall amount to checking that certain matrices associated to a given representation satisfy certain relations. We shall need some terminology. Denote by A_n the representing Hopf algebra of U_n, which we identify as

$$A_n = k[x_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n]$$

$$\Delta : x_{ij} \mapsto 1 \otimes x_{ij} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj} + x_{ij} \otimes 1$$

$$\varepsilon : x_{ij} \mapsto 0$$

We view a representation of U_n on a k-vector space V as a comodule over its representing Hopf algebra A_n, (see section 3.2 of [9] or chapter 2 of [3]), i.e. as a k-linear map $\rho : V \to V \otimes A_n$ satisfying the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & V \otimes A_n \arrow[d, "1\otimes\Delta"] \\ V \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "\rho\otimes 1"'] & V \otimes A_n \otimes A_n \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.1}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} V \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[dr, "\simeq"'] & V \otimes A_n \arrow[d, "1\otimes\varepsilon"] \\ & V \otimes k \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.2}$$

If we fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ for V, then we can write $\rho : e_j \mapsto \sum_i e_i \otimes a_{ij}$, where (a_{ij}) is the matrix formula for the representation in this basis. Then the diagrams above are, in equation form

$$\Delta(a_{ij}) = \sum_k a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj} \tag{1.3}$$

$$\varepsilon(a_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \tag{1.4}$$

For an $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ with non-negative integer entries, let x^M denote the monomial expression

$$x_{12}^{m_{12}} \dots x_{1n}^{m_{1n}} x_{23}^{m_23} \dots x_{2n}^{m_{2n}} \dots x_{n-1,n}^{m_{n-1,n}}$$

Then the matrix (a_{ij}) can be written uniquely as

$$(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M) x^M$$

where the summation runs over all strictly upper triangular matrices M with non-negative integer entries, and $\chi(M)$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with entries in k.

Example: consider the representation of U_3 with matrix formula

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2x_{12} & x_{12} & 2x_{12}^2 & x_{13} & 2x_{12}x_{13} \\ & 1 & 0 & x_{12} & 0 & x_{13} \\ & & 1 & 2x_{12} & x_{23} & 2x_{12}x_{23} \\ & & & 1 & 0 & x_{23} \\ & & & & 1 & 2x_{12} \\ & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let M be the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then x^M is the monomial $x_{12}x_{13}$, and to compute $\chi(M)$ we ask, what is the 'matrix of coefficients' of this monomial in the above matrix formula? That is

$$\chi(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote by ε_{ij} the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in the $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ entry, zeroes elsewhere. Then the above representation also gives

$$\chi(\epsilon_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 2 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \chi(2\varepsilon_{12}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\chi(2\varepsilon_{12}+\varepsilon_{13}) = 0$, since the monomial $x_{12}^2 x_{13}$ never occurs in the representation. We must of course have $\chi(M) = 0$ for all but finitely many M, since only finitely many monomials can occur in any given representation.

In what follows $[X, Y]$ denotes the usual matrix Lie bracket $XY - YX$. The following implies part (2) of our main theorem, and is what we will be proving in section 3.

Theorem 1.4. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, $n > 0$. If $p \geq 2d$, then every d-dimensional representation (V, ρ) of U_n over k satisfies, for all $m, n \geq 0$, and all $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, $1 \leq t < u \leq n$:*

(1) $\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{rs})$ *is a nilpotent matrix*
(2)

$$[\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{rs}), \chi(p^n \varepsilon_{tu})] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ 0 & \text{if } [\varepsilon_{rs}, \varepsilon_{tu}] = 0 \\ \chi(p^m[\varepsilon_{rs}, \varepsilon_{tu}]) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.5. *Theorem 1.4 implies part (2) of theorem 1.3.*

Proof. For each l and $1 \leq r < s \leq n$, set

$$\phi_l(\varepsilon_{rs}) = \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{rs})$$

and extend each ϕ_l linearly to all of $\mathfrak{u}_n$. Then for fixed l, the previous theorem gives

$$[\phi_l(\varepsilon_{rs}), \phi_l(\varepsilon_{tu})] = \phi_l([\varepsilon_{rs}, \varepsilon_{tu}])$$

which says that each ϕ_l is a Lie algebra homomorphism. For $m \neq l$, the previous theorem gives

$$[\phi_l(\varepsilon_{rs}), \phi_m(\varepsilon_{tu})] = [\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{rs}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tu})] = 0$$

which is predicted by part (2) of theorem 1.3. Finally, assuming that the formula

$$\Phi(g) = e^{\phi(\log(g))} e^{\phi_1(\log(g))^{[p]}} \dots e^{\phi_m(\log(g))^{[p^m]}}$$

actually is a representation of U_n (which will be proven in section 5), we want to see that it is the same representation as $(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M) x^M$. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 given in section 3 make it clear that it suffices to check that, for each r, s and l, the matrix of coefficients of the monomial $x_{rs}^{p^l}$ in the first formula is actually $\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{rs})$, the same as in the second formula.

We prove this first for the case of $m = 0$. Let $g = 1 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}$ be an arbitrary element of U_n. Then we leave it to the reader to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(g) &\overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} (g-1)^k \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $(\dots)$ denotes a sum of matrices whose monomial coefficients have length greater than 1. As ϕ_0 is linear we have

$$\phi_0(\log(g)) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} \phi_0(\varepsilon_{ij}) + \phi_0(\dots)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(g) &= e^{\phi_0(\log(g))} \\ &= 1 + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} \phi_0(\varepsilon_{ij}) + \phi_0(\dots) \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where $(\dots)$ denotes terms including higher powers of $\phi_0(\log(g))$. Clearly then we have that the matrix of coefficients of the terms x_{ij} are exactly $\phi_0(\varepsilon_{ij}) = \chi(\varepsilon_{ij})$.

For $m > 0$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(g) &= e^{\phi_0(\log(g))} e^{\phi_1(\log(g))^{[p]}} \ldots e^{\phi_l(\log(g))^{[p^l]}} \ldots e^{\phi_m(\log(g))^{[p^m]}} \\ &= \sum_{k_0=0}^{d} \cdots \sum_{k_l=0}^{d} \cdots \sum_{k_m=0}^{d} \left(\frac{\phi_0(\log(g))^{k_0}}{k_0!} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{\phi_l(\log(g))^{k_l}}{k_l!} \right)^{[p^l]} \cdots \left(\frac{\phi_m(\log(g))^{k_m}}{k_m!} \right)^{[p^m]}\end{aligned}$$

Since $d \leq p/2$, we see that the only contribution to the monomial $x_{rs}^{p^l}$ comes when all k_i are zero except $k_l = 1$, and thus the matrix of coefficients of the monomial $x_{rs}^{p^l}$ is exactly $\phi_l(\varepsilon_{rs})$. Recalling that $\phi_i(\varepsilon_{rs}) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \chi(p^i \varepsilon_{rs})$, this proves that $\Phi(g) = (a_{ij})$. $\square$

Our approach to proving theorem 1.4 is partially inductive. Take for example the group U_4:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ & 1 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ & & 1 & x_{34} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This group contains four conspicuous subgroups, namely those matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & x_{13} & 0 \\ & 1 & x_{23} & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ & & 1 & x_{34} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_{14} \\ & 1 & 0 & x_{24} \\ & & 1 & x_{34} \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which are isomorphic to, respectively, U_3, U_3, G_a^3, and G_a^3 (three-fold direct product of the Additive group). Since all of these various embeddings are given by simply setting certain variables to zero, by the main result given in [2] for the Heisenberg group, and by the result given in [7] or [1] for products of the Additive group, we immediately conclude by induction that, for instance,

$$[\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{23}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{34})] = \chi(p^m [\varepsilon_{24}])$$

since the variables x_{23} and x_{34} already occur together in a subgroup isomorphic to U_3. Thus, by induction, the only brackets $[\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{rs}), \chi(p^n \varepsilon_{tu})]$ that need to be checked are those that do *not* occur together in one of the above given subgroups, namely

1. when $r = 1$ and $u = 4$ (i.e. when the first variable is in the top row, and the second is in the right-most column)
2. when $\varepsilon_{rs} = \varepsilon_{14}$, and when $t \neq 1$ and $u \neq 4$ (i.e. when the first variable is in the top right corner, and the second variable is in neither the top row nor the right-most column)

Restricting ourselves to these cases shall, as we will see, drastically simplify our calculations.

2. Combinatorics

Our arguments for proving theorem 1.4 are heavily combinatorial, and the notation necessary to prove our main theorem can be at times confusing in the abstract, so as we go along we shall provide examples, using the case $n = 4$, i.e. the group U_4, as a template.

The learned reader may recognize that what we are really doing in this section is working out certain facts about the multiplicative structure of $\mathrm{Dist}(U_n)$, the distribution algebra of U_n (see chapter 7 of [8]), but we have no need for this terminology, and no understanding of distribution algebras is assumed on the part of the reader.

Let n be arbitrary, and throughout, fix a d-dimensional representation (a_{ij}) for U_n over the field k, and write

$$(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M) x^M$$

where the summation runs over all $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrices $M = (m_{ij})$ with non-negative integer entries, and $\chi(M)$ is a $d \times d$ matrix with entries in k for each M, and where we define

$$x^M \overset{\text{defn}}{=} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij}^{m_{ij}}$$

Define the following variable matrices $S_1, \ldots, S_n$. The non-zero entries of S_k are written s_{ij}^k. S_1 and S_2 are demanded to be strictly upper triangular, S_3 is strictly-strictly upper triangular, S_4 is strictly-strictly-strictly upper triangular, …, S_n is $(n-1)\times$ strictly upper triangular (i.e., S_n has a non-zero entry in its $(1, n)^{\text{th}}$ spot only). In other words, for each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have the variables s_{ij}^1 and for each $2 \leq k \leq n$, and each $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ with $j - i \geq k - 1$, we have the variables s_{ij}^k.

Example: in the case of $n = 4$, the matrices S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 are given by

$$S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{12}^1 & s_{13}^1 & s_{14}^1 \\ & 0 & s_{23}^1 & s_{24}^1 \\ & & 0 & s_{34}^1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{12}^2 & s_{13}^2 & s_{14}^2 \\ & 0 & s_{23}^2 & s_{24}^2 \\ & & 0 & s_{34}^2 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & s_{13}^3 & s_{14}^3 \\ & 0 & 0 & s_{24}^3 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad S_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & s_{14}^4 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, define the following variable expressions among the s_{ij}^k:

$$L_{ij} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=j}^{n} s_{ik}^{j-i+1} \text{ and } R_{ij} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_{k,j}^{i-k+1}$$

For example, in the case of $n = 4$, $L_{12} = s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2$, and $R_{34} = s_{14}^3 + s_{24}^2 + s_{34}^1$.

The following notation will be useful: if $B_1 = (b_{ij}^1), \ldots, B_k = (b_{ij}^k), M = (m_{ij})$ are matrices with non-negative integer entries such that $B_1 + \ldots + B_k = M$, then the formal multinomial expression

$$\binom{M}{B_1, \ldots, B_k}$$

is shorthand for $\prod_{ij}\binom{m_{ij}}{b_{ij}^1,\ldots,b_{ij}^k}$. In other words, it is just the product of the multinomial coefficients of the individual entries. For example

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ choose } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

equals

$$\binom{2}{1,1,0}\binom{1}{0,1,0}\binom{3}{2,0,1} = 6$$

Proposition 2.1. *In the notation above, the matrix* $(\Delta(a_{ij}))$ *is equal to*

$$\sum_M \chi(M)\left[\sum_{S_1+\ldots+S_n=M}\binom{S_1+\ldots+S_n}{S_1,\ldots,S_n}\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}}\right)\otimes\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le n} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}}\right)\right]$$

where the first summation runs over all $n \times n$ *strictly upper triangular matrices* M *with non-negative integer entries, and the second runs over all* $S_1+S_2+\ldots+S_n = M$ *with non-negative integer entries of the form defined in the above paragraph.*

Before we prove the proposition, let us illustrate with an example what it is actually saying, again using the case of $n = 4$. Using $(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M)x^M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(a_{ij}) &= \sum_M \chi(M)\Delta(x^M) \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M)_{ij}\Delta(x_{12})^{m_{12}}\Delta(x_{23})^{m_{23}}\Delta(x_{34})^{m_{34}}\Delta(x_{13})^{m_{13}}\Delta(x_{24})^{m_{24}}\Delta(x_{14})^{m_{14}} \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M)_{ij}(1\otimes x_{12}+x_{12}\otimes 1)^{m_{12}}(1\otimes x_{23}+x_{23}\otimes 1)^{m_{23}}(1\otimes x_{34}+x_{34}\otimes 1)^{m_{34}} \\
&\qquad (1\otimes x_{13}+x_{12}\otimes x_{23}+x_{13}\otimes 1)^{m_{13}}(1\otimes x_{24}+x_{23}\otimes x_{34}+x_{24}\otimes 1)^{m_{24}} \\
&\qquad (1\otimes x_{14}+x_{12}\otimes x_{24}+x_{13}\otimes x_{34}+x_{14}\otimes 1)^{m_{14}}
\end{aligned}$$

and by repeated application of the binomial/multinomial theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{M} \chi(M) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{12}+s^2_{12}=m_{12}} \binom{m_{12}}{s^1_{12}, s^2_{12}} (1 \otimes x_{12})^{s^1_{12}} (x_{12} \otimes 1)^{s^2_{12}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{23}+s^2_{23}=m_{23}} \binom{m_{23}}{s^1_{23}, s^2_{23}} (1 \otimes x_{23})^{s^1_{23}} (x_{23} \otimes 1)^{s^2_{23}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{34}+s^2_{34}=m_{24}} \binom{m_{34}}{s^1_{34}, s^2_{34}} (1 \otimes x_{34})^{s^1_{34}} (x_{34} \otimes 1)^{s^2_{34}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{13}+s^2_{13}+s^3_{13}=m_{13}} \binom{m_{13}}{s^1_{13}, s^2_{13}, s^3_{13}} (1 \otimes x_{13})^{s^1_{13}} (x_{12} \otimes x_{23})^{s^2_{13}} (x_{13} \otimes 1)^{s^3_{13}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{24}+s^2_{24}+s^3_{24}=m_{24}} \binom{m_{24}}{s^1_{24}, s^2_{24}, s^3_{24}} (1 \otimes x_{24})^{s^1_{24}} (x_{23} \otimes x_{34})^{s^2_{24}} (x_{24} \otimes 1)^{s^3_{24}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{14}+s^2_{14}+s^3_{14}+s^4_{14}=m_{14}} \binom{m_{14}}{s^1_{14}, s^2_{14}, s^3_{14}, s^4_{14}} (1 \otimes x_{14})^{s^1_{14}} (x_{12} \otimes x_{24})^{s^2_{14}} (x_{13} \otimes x_{34})^{s^3_{14}} (x_{14} \otimes 1)^{s^4_{14}} \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, condensing

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{M} \chi(M) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{12}+s^2_{12}=m_{12}} \binom{m_{12}}{s^1_{12}, s^2_{12}} x_{12}^{s^2_{12}} \otimes x_{12}^{s^1_{12}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{23}+s^2_{23}=m_{23}} \binom{m_{23}}{s^1_{23}, s^2_{23}} x_{23}^{s^2_{23}} \otimes x_{23}^{s^1_{23}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{34}+s^2_{34}=m_{24}} \binom{m_{34}}{s^1_{34}, s^2_{34}} x_{34}^{s^2_{34}} \otimes x_{34}^{s^1_{34}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{13}+s^2_{13}+s^3_{13}=m_{13}} \binom{m_{13}}{s^1_{13}, s^2_{13}, s^3_{13}} x_{12}^{s^2_{13}} x_{13}^{s^3_{13}} \otimes x_{13}^{s^1_{13}} x_{23}^{s^2_{13}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{24}+s^2_{24}+s^3_{24}=m_{24}} \binom{m_{24}}{s^1_{24}, s^2_{24}, s^3_{24}} x_{23}^{s^2_{24}} x_{24}^{s^3_{24}} \otimes x_{24}^{s^1_{24}} x_{34}^{s^2_{24}} \right) \\
&\qquad \left(\sum_{s^1_{14}+s^2_{14}+s^3_{14}+s^4_{14}=m_{14}} \binom{m_{14}}{s^1_{14}, s^2_{14}, s^3_{14}, s^4_{14}} x_{12}^{s^2_{14}} x_{13}^{s^3_{14}} x_{14}^{s^4_{14}} \otimes x_{14}^{s^1_{14}} x_{24}^{s^2_{14}} x_{34}^{s^3_{14}} \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

With the variable matrices S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 so previously defined, we can collapse all of these summations to

$$\sum_M \chi(M) \left[\sum_{S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4=M} \binom{M}{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4} \right.$$
$$x_{12}^{s_{12}^2+s_{13}^2+s_{14}^2} x_{23}^{s_{23}^2+s_{24}^2} x_{34}^{s_{34}^2} x_{13}^{s_{13}^3+s_{14}^3} x_{24}^{s_{24}^3} x_{14}^{s_{14}^4}$$
$$\bigotimes$$
$$\left. x_{12}^{s_{12}^1} x_{23}^{s_{23}^1+s_{13}^2} x_{34}^{s_{34}^1+s_{24}^2+s_{14}^3} x_{13}^{s_{13}^1} x_{24}^{s_{24}^1+s_{14}^2} x_{14}^{s_{14}^1} \right]$$

which the reader can verify by hand can be written

$$\sum_M \chi(M) \left[\sum_{S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4=M} \binom{S_1+S_2+S_3+S_4}{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4} \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 4} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 4} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}} \right) \right]$$

We now prove proposition 2.1 for arbitrary n.

Proof. Compute: $(\Delta(a_{ij})) =$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \Delta(x^M) \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \Delta(x_{ij})^{m_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} (1 \otimes x_{ij} + \sum_{k=i+1}^{j-1} x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj} + x_{ij} \otimes 1)^{m_{ij}} \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\sum_{s_{ij}^1 + \ldots + s_{ij}^{j-i+1} = m_{ij}} \binom{s_{ij}^1 + \ldots + s_{ij}^{j-i+1}}{s_{ij}^1, \ldots, s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. (1 \otimes x_{ij})^{s_{ij}^1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} (x_{ik} \otimes x_{kj})^{s_{ij}^{k-i+1}} (x_{ij} \otimes 1)^{s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(\sum_{s_{ij}^1 + \ldots + s_{ij}^{j-i+1} = m_{ij}} \binom{s_{ij}^1 + \ldots + s_{ij}^{j-i+1}}{s_{ij}^1, \ldots, s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad \left. x_{i,i+1}^{s_{ij}^2} x_{i,i+2}^{s_{ij}^3} \ldots x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \otimes x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^1} x_{i+1,j}^{s_{ij}^2} \ldots x_{j-1,j}^{s_{ij}^{j-i}} \right) \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \sum_{\substack{s_{12}^1 + s_{12}^2 = m_{12} \\ s_{23}^1 + s_{23}^2 = m_{23} \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-1,n}^1 + s_{n-1,n}^2 = m_{n-1,n} \\ s_{13}^1 + s_{13}^2 + s_{13}^3 = m_{13} \\ s_{24}^1 + s_{24}^2 + s_{24}^3 = m_{24} \\ \vdots \\ s_{n-2,n}^1 + s_{n-2,n}^2 + s_{n-2,n}^3 = m_{n-2,n} \\ \vdots \\ s_{1,n}^1 + s_{1,n}^2 + \ldots + s_{1,n}^n = m_{1,n}}} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \binom{s_{ij}^1 + \ldots + s_{ij}^{j-i+1}}{s_{ij}^1, \ldots, s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} x_{i,i+1}^{s_{ij}^2} x_{i,i+2}^{s_{ij}^3} \ldots x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \otimes x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^1} x_{i+1,j}^{s_{ij}^2} \ldots x_{j-1,j}^{s_{ij}^{j-i}} \\
&= \sum_M \chi(M) \sum_{S_1 + \ldots + S_n = M} \binom{M}{S_1, \ldots, S_n} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{i,i+1}^{s_{ij}^2} x_{i,i+2}^{s_{ij}^3} \ldots x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \otimes x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^1} x_{i+1,j}^{s_{ij}^2} \ldots x_{j-1,j}^{s_{ij}^{j-i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our task now is to write the expression $\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{i,i+1}^{s_{ij}^2} x_{i,i+2}^{s_{ij}^3} \ldots x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^{j-i+1}} \otimes x_{ij}^{s_{ij}^1} x_{i+1,j}^{s_{ij}^2} \ldots x_{j-1,j}^{s_{ij}^{j-i}}$ in the form

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}} \right)$$

and to figure out what L_{ij} and R_{ij} are. To compute L_{ij} we ask, in which factors, for $1 \le u < k \le n$, does the term x_{ij} occur in the left tensor slot, and what is its exponent? For this to happen, it is necessary and sufficient that $u = i$ and $j \le k$, and in this case the exponent of x_{ij} is $s_{i,k}^{j-i+1}$. Summing this expression over all k

greater than or equal to j gives

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} s_{ik}^{j-i+1}$$

as claimed. To compute R_{ij} we ask, for which $1 \leq k < u \leq n$ does x_{ij} occur in the right tensor slot, and what is its exponent? For this to happen, it is necessary and sufficient that $u = j$, and that $k \leq i$, and in this case the exponent of x_{ij} is $s_{k,j}^{i-k+1}$. Summing this expression over all k less than or equal to i gives

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_{k,j}^{i-k+1}$$

as claimed. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *The matrix* $(\sum_k a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj})$ *is equal to*

$$\sum_{M,N} \chi(M)\chi(N) x^M \otimes x^N$$

where the summation runs over all $n \times n$ *strictly upper triangular matrices with non-negative integer entries, and* $\chi(M)\chi(N)$ *is the usual product of matrices.*

Proof. We have that $a_{ik} = \sum_M \chi(M)_{ik} x^M$, and $a_{kj} = \sum_N \chi(N)_{kj} x^N$. Compute:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k a_{ik} \otimes a_{kj} &= \sum_k \left(\sum_M \chi(M)_{ik} x^M\right) \otimes \left(\sum_N \chi(N)_{kj} x^N\right) \\ &= \sum_{M,N} \left(\sum_k \chi(M)_{ik}\chi(N)_{kj}\right) x^M \otimes x^N\end{aligned}$$

Realizing that $\sum_k \chi(M)_{ik}\chi(N)_{kj}$ is nothing more than the $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ entry of the matrix product $\chi(M)\chi(N)$, this completes the proof. $\square$

Recalling equations 1.3 and 1.4, by propositions 2.1 and 2.2 we have

Proposition 2.3. *The matrix formula* $(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M) x^M$ *is a representation of* U_n *if and only if*

(1) $\chi(M) = 0$ *for all but finitely many* M
(2) $\chi(0) = Id$
(3)

(2.1)
$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{M,N} \chi(M)\chi(N) x^M \otimes x^N \\ &= \sum_M \chi(M) \left[\sum_{S_1+\ldots+S_n=M} \binom{S_1+\ldots+S_n}{S_1,\ldots,S_n} \left(\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}}\right) \otimes \left(\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}}\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

In particular, the matrix product $\chi(M)\chi(N)$ *must be exactly the coefficient of the monomial tensor* $x^M \otimes x^N$ *on the right hand side of equation 2.1.*

The left hand side of equation 2.1 above is a sum over distinct monomial tensors, and so the coefficient of $x^M \otimes x^N$ is exactly $\chi(M)\chi(N)$. The right hand side of this equation, on the other hand, is *not* a sum over distinct monomial tensors, and for the most part it is a non-trivial exercise figuring out exactly what the coefficient of $x^M \otimes x^N$ is for arbitrary M and N. Nonetheless, for the M and N we are interested in, we shall be able to compute exactly what the coefficient of the monomial tensor $x^M \otimes x^N$ is on the right hand side, and in so doing compute $\chi(M)\chi(N)$, and in so doing prove theorem 1.4.

As an example of how we will be using this equation, consider again the case $n = 4$, and consider the matrix product $\chi(\varepsilon_{14})\chi(\varepsilon_{13})$. We claim that it is always equal to $\chi(\varepsilon_{14} + \varepsilon_{13})$. To show this, consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_{12}^{s_{12}^2+s_{13}^2+s_{14}^2} x_{23}^{s_{23}^2+s_{24}^2} x_{34}^{s_{34}^2} x_{13}^{s_{13}^3+s_{14}^3} x_{24}^{s_{24}^3} x_{14}^{s_{14}^4} \\
\bigotimes \\
&x_{12}^{s_{12}^1} x_{23}^{s_{23}^1+s_{13}^2} x_{34}^{s_{34}^1+s_{24}^2+s_{14}^3} x_{13}^{s_{13}^1} x_{24}^{s_{24}^1+s_{14}^2} x_{14}^{s_{14}^1} \\
&= x_{14} \otimes x_{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the variables s_{ij}^k are demanded to be non-negative integers, clearly there is only one collection of matrices S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 in non-negative integer entries that gives a solution to this equation, namely $s_{14}^4 = 1, s_{13}^1 = 1$, and all other $s_{ij}^k = 0$. Thus the coefficient of $x_{14} \otimes x_{13}$ on the right hand side of equation 2.1 is exactly

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4}{S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4} \chi(S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4) &= \binom{\varepsilon_{13} + 0 + 0 + \varepsilon_{14}}{\varepsilon_{13}, 0, 0, \varepsilon_{14}} \chi(\varepsilon_{13} + \varepsilon_{14}) \\
&= \chi(\varepsilon_{13} + \varepsilon_{14})
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of $x_{14} \otimes x_{13}$ on the left hand side of equation 2.1 is of course $\chi(\varepsilon_{14})\chi(\varepsilon_{13})$, whence they are equal.

Lemma 2.4. *Consider the variable expressions*

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} s_{ik}^{j-i+1} \qquad R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_{kj}^{i-k+1}$$

1. *Each of the variables s_{ij}^k occur at most once in any of the L_{ij}, and the only variables that do not occur in any of the L_{ij} are those of the form s_{ij}^1 (i.e. those variables occurring in the matrix S_1)*
2. *Each of the variables s_{ij}^k occur at most once in any of the R_{ij}, and the only variables that do not occur in any of the R_{ij} are those of the form $s_{i,k}^{k-i+1}$, for $k > i$ (i.e. those on the super-diagonal of S_2, on the super-super-diagonal of S_3, …, on the $(1,n)^{th}$ entry of S_n)*
3. *The variables that occur in both of the expressions L_{ij} and R_{uv} are exactly*
   - (a) $s_{i,v}^{j-i+1}$ *if $j = u$*
   - (b) *None otherwise*

Proof. (1) For the variable s_{uv}^w to occur in L_{ij}, we must have a k with $j \leq k \leq n$ such that $s_{ik}^{j-i+1} = s_{uv}^w$. This forces $i = u, k = v$, and $w = j-i+1$, i.e. $j = w+u-1$. Thus there is only one possible choice for i, j and k.

Now suppose $w = 1$. Then there is no L_{ij} in which s_{uv}^w occurs, since this forces $j = u = i$, and of course i is always demanded to be less than j. On the other hand, if $2 \leq w \leq n$, set $i = u, k = v$, and $j = w + u - 1$; we claim that s_{uv}^{w} occurs as the k^{th} term of L_{ij}. To prove this, we need to verify that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and that $j \leq k \leq n$. That $1 \leq i$ is obvious from $1 \leq u$. Obviously also $k \leq n$ since $v \leq n$. Recall that, by assumption of the form of the variable s_{uv}^{w}, $v - u \leq w - 1$, i.e $u \leq v - w + 1$. Then

$$j = w + u - 1 \leq w + (v - w + 1) - 1 = v \leq n$$

and

$$j \leq v = k$$

as required.

(2) For the variable s_{uv}^{w} to occur in R_{ij}, we must have a k with $1 \leq k \leq i$ such that $s_{kj}^{i-k+1} = s_{uv}^{w}$. This forces $j = v, k = u$, and $w = i - k + 1$, i.e. $i = w + u - 1$. Thus there is only one possible choice for i, j and k.

Now suppose that s_{uv}^{w} is of the form $s_{i,k}^{k-i+1}$, for $k > i$, that is, so that $v - u = w - 1$. Then this forces

$$j - k = v - u = w - 1 = i - k + 1 - 1 = i - k$$

so that $j = i$, which is impossible. On the other hand, if s_{uv}^{w} is not of this form, we can assume that $v - u > w - 1$. Then set $i = w + u - 1, j = v, k = u$. We claim that s_{uv}^{w} occurs as the k^{th} term of R_{ij}. We must verify that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, and that $1 \leq k \leq i$.

That $j \leq n$ is obvious from $v \leq n$, $1 \leq k$ since $1 \leq u$, and $1 \leq i$ since $1 \leq w+u-1$, i.e. $2 \leq w+u$. $i < j$ is equivalent to $w+u-1 < v$, which is equivalent to $v-u > w-1$, and $k \leq i$ is equivalent to $u \leq w + u - 1$, which is equivalent to $1 \leq w$.

(3) To see which variables occur in both L_{ij} and R_{uv}, we seek k_0 and k_1 with $j \leq k_0 \leq n$ and $1 \leq k_1 \leq u$ such that

$$s_{i,k_0}^{j-i+1} = s_{k_1,v}^{u-k_1+1}$$

which forces $i = k_1$, $v = k_0$, and $j - i + 1 = u - k_1 + 1 = u - i + 1$, i.e. $j = u$. Thus we must have $j = u$ to have any variables in common. If this is the case, then $1 \leq i < j = u < v \leq n$, whence we have $1 \leq k_1 < j = u < k_0 \leq n$, as required, giving us the variable s_{iv}^{j-i+1}.

□

We close this section with one more combinatorial lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $Y = (y_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrix with zeroes on its top row, and let $Z = (z_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrix with zeroes in all rows except perhaps the top, both with non-negative integer entries. Then the system*

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}} \right) = x^{Y} \otimes x^{Z}$$

has exactly one solution in the variables s_{ij}^{k}, namely

1. $s_{1j}^{1} = z_{1j}$ *for all* $2 \leq j \leq n$
2. $s_{ij}^{j-i+1} = y_{ij}$ *for all* $2 \leq i < j \leq n$
3. *All other* $s_{ij}^{k} = 0$

Remark 2.6. In the case of $n = 5$, a useful heuristic to remember this solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_{12}^1 & s_{13}^1 & s_{14}^1 & s_{15}^1 \\ & 0 & s_{23}^2 & s_{24}^3 & s_{25}^4 \\ & & 0 & s_{34}^2 & s_{35}^3 \\ & & & 0 & s_{45}^2 \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z_{12} & z_{13} & z_{14} & z_{15} \\ & 0 & y_{23} & y_{24} & y_{25} \\ & & 0 & y_{34} & y_{35} \\ & & & 0 & y_{45} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = Y + Z$$

with any s_{ij}^k not mentioned assumed to be zero.

Proof. The key fact is that the variables mentioned in part (1) of the above do not occur in any of the L_{ij}, and those given in part (2) do not occur in any of the R_{ij} (see lemma 2.4).

We prove first that the assignments given actually are a solution. We need to verify that

$$R_{1,j} = z_{1,j} \text{ for all } 2 \leq j \leq n$$

and

$$L_{i,j} = y_{i,j} \text{ for all } 2 \leq i < j \leq n$$

with all other $L_{ij}, R_{ij} = 0$. Consider

$$R_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{i} s_{kj}^{i-k+1} = s_{1,j}^{i} + s_{2,j}^{i-1} \ldots + s_{ij}^{1}$$

We see firstly that $R_{1,j} = s_{1,j}^1 = z_{1,j}$. By lemma 2.4, no variable of the form given in part (2) of the proposition occur in any R_{ij}, and if $i > 1$, no variable of the form given in part (1) may occur either. This gives $R_{ij} = 0$ for $i > 1$, as required.

Consider now

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{k=j}^{n} s_{ik}^{j-i+1} = s_{ij}^{j-i+1} + s_{i,j+1}^{j-i+1} + \ldots + s_{in}^{j-i+1}$$

Note firstly that no variables of the form given part (1) occur in any L_{ij} (lemma 2.4). If $i = 1$, then we have

$$L_{1j} = s_{1,j}^{j} + s_{1,j+1}^{j} + \ldots + s_{1,n}^{j}$$

In order for a variable of the form given in (2) to occur in this expression, we must have $j = 1 + j + 1 - 1$, which is absurd; thus $L_{1j} = 0$. In case $i > 1$, we see there is exactly one term of the form given in (2) occurring, namely the first term, $s_{i,j}^{j-i+1}$, which is equal to y_{ij} by fiat. This gives $L_{ij} = y_{ij}$ for $i > 1$, as required.

We now argue that this is the only possible solution. First, the equations $R_{1,j} = s_{1,j}^1 = z_{1,j}$ force the assignments given in part (1). Second, if $i \geq 2$, then the variable s_{ij}^{j-i+1} occurs in L_{ij}, and this is the *only* variable of this form occurring in L_{ij}. Third, if $i \geq 2$, then every other variable occurring in L_{ij}, not being of the form given in (2), occurs in some R_{uv} (lemma 2.4), necessarily with $i = u > 1$; and since $R_{uv} = 0$ for all $u > 1$, this makes them zero. Thus, for $i \geq 2$, $L_{ij} = y_{ij}$ has only one possible non-zero variable, namely s_{ij}^{j-i+1}; this forces $s_{ij}^{j-i+1} = y_{ij}$ for all $i \geq 2$.

Finally, let s_{ij}^k be any variable not of the form given in either (1) or (2). Certainly it occurs somewhere among the L_{ij} or R_{ij}. If this happens to be any of the non-zero $L's$ or $R's$, we've already argued that s_{ij}^k must be zero; and if it is *not* among these

$L's$ or $R's$, then that particular L or R must itself be zero, forcing $s_{ij}^k = 0$. This completes the proof. ☐

3. The Main Theorem: Necessity

We can now put equation 2.1 to work in proving theorem 1.4. Recall that ε_{ij} is the $n \times n$ matrix with a 1 in its $(i,j)^{\text{th}}$ entry, zeroes elsewhere. Let M be an arbitrary $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrix with non-negative integer entries. To begin, we need a factorization for $\chi(M)$ in terms of those matrices of the form $\chi(r\varepsilon_{ij})$.

Lemma 3.1. *If $M = (m_{ij})$, then*

$$\chi(M) = \prod_{i=n-1}^{1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \chi(m_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij})$$

Remark 3.2. The notation "$\prod_{i=n-1}^{1}$" is not a typo; we are listing the factors in reverse order for convenience. For example, in the case of $n = 4$, we are saying that

$$\chi(M) = \chi(m_{34}\varepsilon_{34})\chi(m_{23}\varepsilon_{23})\chi(m_{24}\varepsilon_{24})\chi(m_{12}\varepsilon_{12})\chi(m_{13}\varepsilon_{13})\chi(m_{14}\varepsilon_{14})$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If $n = 2$ the above equation is $\chi(M) = \chi(m_{12}\varepsilon_{12}) = \chi(M)$, which is obvious. Let Y and Z be the $n \times n$ matrices

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & 0 & m_{23} & m_{24} & \dots & m_{2n} \\ & & 0 & m_{34} & \dots & m_{3n} \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & m_{n-1,n} \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & \dots & m_{1n} \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e the $n \times n$ matrices with the top row of M deleted, and *all but* the top row deleted. Using the second embedding of U_{n-1} into U_n described on page 6, we conclude by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(Y) &= \chi(m_{n-1,n}\varepsilon_{n-1,n})\chi(m_{n-2,n-1}\varepsilon_{n-2,n-1})\chi(m_{n-2,n}\varepsilon_{n-2,n}) \dots \chi(m_{23}\varepsilon_{23}) \dots \chi(m_{2n}\varepsilon_{2n}) \\ &= \prod_{i=n-1}^{2} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \chi(m_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

And by using the first embedding G_a^{n-1} into U_n described on page 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(Z) &= \chi(m_{12}\varepsilon_{12}) \dots \chi(m_{1n}\varepsilon_{1n}) \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^{n} \chi(m_{1j}\varepsilon_{1j}) \end{aligned}$$

(See theorem 12.3.6 of [1] for an account of the representation theory of direct products of the Additive group.)

Now consider the matrix product $\chi(Y)\chi(Z)$; we claim it is equal to $\chi(Y + Z) = \chi(M)$. Using equation 2.1, we seek solutions to the system

$$\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{ij}^{L_{ij}} \right) \otimes \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{ij}^{R_{ij}} \right) = x^Y \otimes x^Z$$

By lemma 2.5 this system has exactly one solution, and we leave it to the reader that this solution gives

$$\binom{S_1 + \ldots + S_n}{S_1, \ldots, S_n} = 1$$

and

$$S_1 + \ldots + S_n = M$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(M) &= \chi(Y)\chi(Z) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=n-1}^{2} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \chi(m_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij} \right) \left(\prod_{j=2}^{n} \chi(m_{1j}\varepsilon_{1j} \right) \\ &= \prod_{i=n-1}^{1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} \chi(m_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

□

For any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, those matrices of the form $1 + x_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}$ form a subgroup of U_n isomorphic to the Additive group G_a, and we shall use this fact repeatedly. We shall need the following concerning the representation theory of the Additive group G_a over prime characteristic fields. In what follows we shall identify G_a as U_2, i.e. the collection of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The following proposition is originally due to A Suslin, E M Friedlander and C P Bendel, 1997, and can be found in the proof of proposition 1.2 of [7]. However, our notation differs markedly from theirs, and so the reader may with to consult section 12.3 of [1] instead.

Proposition 3.3. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and let $(a_{ij}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \chi(n\varepsilon_{12})x^n$ be any representation of G_a over k. Set $X_0 = \chi(\varepsilon_{12}), X_1 = \chi(p\varepsilon_{12}), \ldots, X_m = \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{12})$, with $X_i = 0$ for $i > m$.*

1. *(a_{ij}) can be written as*

$$e^{xX_0}e^{x^pX_1} \ldots e^{x^{p^m}X_m}$$

The X_i all commute and are nilpotent of order no greater than p. Further, any finite collection of such matrices defines a representation according to this formula.

2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and write $r = r_0 + r_1p + \ldots + r_mp^m$ in p-ary notation. Then*

$$\chi(r\varepsilon_{12}) = \Gamma(r)^{-1}\chi(\varepsilon_{12})^{r_0}\chi(p\varepsilon_{12})^{r_1} \ldots \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{12})^{r_m}$$

where $\Gamma(r) \overset{\text{def}}{=} r_0!r_1! \ldots r_m!$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, $(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M)x^M$ a representation of U_n over k. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, write $r = r_0 + r_1p + \ldots + r_mp^m$ in p-ary notation. Then for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\chi(r\varepsilon_{ij}) = \Gamma(r)^{-1}\chi(\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_0}\chi(p\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_1} \ldots \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_m}$$

where $\Gamma(r) \overset{def}{=} r_0!r_1!\dots r_m!$*. Further, all of the factors of this product commute, and all of the* $\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{ij})$ *are nilpotent of order no greater than* p*.*

Proof. For fixed i and j, the collection of those matrices of U_n the form $1 + x_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}$ form a subgroup of U_n isomorphic to the additive group G_a. This embedding is given by the Hopf algebra map $A_n \to k[x]$ which sends x_{ij} to x and all other x_{rs} to zero. Apply proposition 3.3.

□

The next lemma, while simple, is the crucial fact which allows us to prove the main theorem of this paper. It also illustrates why we do *not* suspect a result analogous to our main theorem to hold for non-unipotent algebraic groups (i.e. groups with a non-nilpotent Lie algebra).

Lemma 3.5. *Let* k *be a field of characteristic* $p > 0$, $(a_{ij}) = \sum_M \chi(M)x^M$ *a* d*-dimensional representation of* U_n *over* k*, and suppose that* $p \geq 2d$*. Let* $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$*, and suppose that the sum* $r + s$ *carries modulo* p*. Then for any* i, j, u, v*, at least one of* $\chi(r\varepsilon_{ij})$ *or* $\chi(s\varepsilon_{uv})$ *must be zero.*

Proof. Write $r = r_0 + r_1p + \dots + r_mp^m$ in p-ary notation, similarly for s, and since $r + s$ carries, let $r_t + s_t \geq p$. Then at least one of r_t or s_t is greater than or equal to $p/2$, say r_t. By lemma 3.4 write

$$\chi(r\varepsilon_{ij}) = \Gamma(r)^{-1}\chi(\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_0}\chi(p\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_1}\dots\chi(p^t\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_t}\dots\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_m}$$

Then $\chi(r\varepsilon_{ij})$ is zero, since $\chi(p^t\varepsilon_{ij})^{r_t}$ is zero, since $\chi(p^t\varepsilon_{ij})$ is nilpotent of order no greater than $d \leq p/2 \leq r_t$.

□

We are now ready to prove theorem 1.4. As stated in the introduction on page 6, the four embeddings mentioned there give us a great deal for free; all that needs to be checked is the bracket $[\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{ij}), \chi(p^n\varepsilon_{tu})]$ when (i, j) is in the top row and (t, u) in the right-most column, or when $(i, j) = (1, n)$ and (t, u) is in neither the top row nor the right-most column. We break it down into cases.

Recall that the Lie algebra of U_n, which we identify as $\varepsilon_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, has the following Lie bracket:

$$[\varepsilon_{rs}, \varepsilon_{tu}] = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{ru} & \text{if } s = t \\ -\varepsilon_{ts} & \text{if } r = u \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For the rest of this section we assume that k is a field of characteristic p, that $p \geq 2d$, and that the $\chi(M)$ correspond to a d-dimensional representation of U_n over k.

Proposition 3.6. *For any* l, m, t *and* u*,*

$$\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{tn})\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{1u}) = \binom{p^l\varepsilon_{tn} + p^m\varepsilon_{1u}}{p^l\varepsilon_{tn}, p^m\varepsilon_{1u}}\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{tn} + p^m\varepsilon_{1u})$$

Proof. We seek solutions to $L_{tn} = s_{tn}^{n-t+1} = p^l, R_{1u} = s_{1u}^1 = p^m$, and all other $L_{ij}, R_{ij} = 0$. Notice firstly that the variable s_{tn}^{n-t+1} does not occur in any of the R_{ij}, and that s_{1u}^1 does not occur in any of the L_{ij}, and that neither occur in any more $L's$ or $R's$ (lemma 2.4), so there is no conflict in setting $L_{tn} = p^l, R_{1u} = p^m$; that is, we have at least one solution. But also by lemma 2.4, every other variable occurs in at least one of the other L_{ij} or R_{ij}, forcing them to be zero, giving us exactly one solution, namely $s_{tn}^{n-t+1} = p^l$, $s_{1u}^1 = p^m$, and all other $s_{ij}^k = 0$. This gives us that the coefficient of the monomial tensor $x_{tn}^{p^l} \otimes x_{1u}^{p^m}$ is exactly

$$\binom{p^l \varepsilon_{tn} + p^m \varepsilon_{1u}}{p^l \varepsilon_{tn}, p^m \varepsilon_{1u}} \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{tn} + p^m \varepsilon_{1u})$$

and the proposition is proved.

□

Proposition 3.7. *If $t \neq u$, then*

$$\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u}) \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tn}) = \binom{p^l \varepsilon_{1u} + p^m \varepsilon_{tn}}{p^m \varepsilon_{tn}, p^m \varepsilon_{tn}} \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u} + p^m \varepsilon_{tn})$$

Proof. We again examine

$$L_{1u} = \sum_{k=u}^{n} s_{1,k}^u \quad \text{and} \quad R_{tn} = \sum_{k=1}^{t} s_{t-k+1,n}^k$$

We seek solutions to $L_{1u} = p^l, R_{tn} = p^m$, and all other $L_{ij}, R_{ij} = 0$. We claim there is exactly one solution, namely $s_{1u}^u = p^l$, $s_{tn}^1 = p^m$, and all other $s_{ij}^k = 0$. First, L_{1u} and R_{tn} share no variables in common. Second, the only variable occurring in L_{1u} which *doesn't* also occur in some R_{ij} is s_{1u}^u, and the only variable occurring in R_{tn} which *doesn't* occur in some L_{ij} is s_{tn}^1 (lemma 2.4). This forces all of the variables occurring in L_{1u} or R_{tn} to be zero, except for these two, forcing $s_{1u}^u = p^l$, $s_{tn}^1 = p^m$. This gives that the coefficient of the monomial tensor $x_{1u}^{p^l} \otimes x_{t4}^{p^m}$ in equation 2.1 is exactly

$$\binom{p^l \varepsilon_{1u} + p^m \varepsilon_{t4}}{p^m \varepsilon_{t4}, p^m \varepsilon_{t4}} \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u} + p^m \varepsilon_{t4})$$

which proves the proposition. □

Corollary 3.8. *If $t \neq u$, then for any l and m,*

$$[\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tn})] = 0$$

Proof. By propositions 3.6 and 3.7, $\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u}) \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tn}) = \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tn}) \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u})$.

□

Proposition 3.9. *For any $1 \leq t < u \leq n$ and any l and m,*

$$[\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1n}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tu})] = 0$$

Proof. Note that $L_{1n} = s_{1n}^n$ and that $R_{1n} = s_{1n}^1$, both consisting of a single variable. We leave it to the reader then to compute the products $\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1n}) \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tu})$ and $\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{tu}) \chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1n})$, to see that each have exactly one solution, and these solutions give the same answer for both. □

Thus far we have not used at all the assumption that $p \geq 2d$. This will change as we consider the last case, namely $[\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{un})]$.

Proposition 3.10. *For any l, m, and $1 < u \leq n$,*

$$[\chi(p^l \varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{un})] = \sum_{k=1}^{min(p^l, p^m)} \chi((p^m - k) \varepsilon_{un}) \chi((p^l - k) \varepsilon_{1,u}) \chi(k \varepsilon_{1n})$$

Proof. We shall compute the product $\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})$. Thus we seek solutions to

$$L_{1u} = p^l \text{ and } R_{un} = p^m$$

with all other L_{ij}, R_{ij} equal to zero. Firstly, L_{1u} and R_{un} have exactly one variable in common, namely s_{1n}^u. Secondly, there is exactly one variable occurring in L_{1u} which doesn't occur in any R_{ij}, namely s_{1u}^u, and exactly one variable occurring in R_{un} which doesn't occur in any of the L_{ij}, namely s_{un}^1 (lemma 2.4). This gives

$$s_{1u}^u + s_{1n}^u = p^l \text{ and } s_{un}^1 + s_{1n}^u = p^m$$

with all other s_{ij}^k equal to zero. Clearly then, every non-negative integer value of s_{1n}^u no greater than either p^l or p^m gives a solution, and these are the only solutions. If $k = s_{1n}^u$ is any such value, its contribution to the coefficient of $x_{1u}^{p^l} \otimes x_{un}^{p^m}$ in equation 2.1 is

$$\binom{k\varepsilon_{1n} + (p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1u} + (p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un}}{k\varepsilon_{1n}, (p^l - k)\varepsilon_{,u}, (p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un}} \chi(k\varepsilon_{1n} + (p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1u} + (p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})$$

Note that it is impossible for any of the tuples $(1, n), (n, u)$ or (u, n) to be equal, so the above multinomial coefficient is exactly 1. Thus we can write

$$\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(p^l,p^m)} \chi(k\varepsilon_{1n} + (p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1u} + (p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})$$

and by lemma 3.1 we can further write

$$\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(p^l,p^m)} \chi((p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})\chi((p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(k\varepsilon_{1n})$$

Finally, note that the value $k = 0$ gives $\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u})$, so we can write

$$\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un}) - \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(p^l,p^m)} \chi((p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})\chi((p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(k\varepsilon_{1n})$$

which proves the proposition.

□

Corollary 3.11. *If $p \geq 2d$, then*

$$[\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \neq m \\ \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{1n}) & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the previous proposition, examine

$$[\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})] = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(p^l,p^m)} \chi((p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})\chi((p^l - k)\varepsilon_{1,u})\chi(k\varepsilon_{14})$$

First suppose that $l \neq m$, say that $l < m$. Then for every value k of this summation, there is clearly some 'carrying' in computing the sum $(p^m - k) + k$. Then by lemma 3.5, at least one of $\chi((p^m - k)\varepsilon_{un})$ or $\chi(k\varepsilon_{1n})$ is always zero, forcing every term in the summation to be zero. This gives $[\chi(p^l\varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m\varepsilon_{un})] = 0$; an analogous proof holds in case $l > m$.

Now suppose that $l = m$. Then for the same reason every term in the above summation is zero, *except* for the last term $k = p^m$, since $(p^m - p^m) + p^m$ does not carry. This gives

$$[\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{1u}), \chi(p^m \varepsilon_{un})] = \chi(0\varepsilon_{un})\chi(0\varepsilon_{1u})\chi(p^m \varepsilon_{1n})$$

Recalling that $\chi(0) = \mathrm{Id}$, this completes the proof. □

Theorem 1.4 is now proved, and hence also part (2) of our main theorem, 1.3.

4. The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

The proof of part (1) of our main theorem (1.3) will require some understanding of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. For the reader's convenience, here we briefly review the calculation of said formula (here on referred to as BCH) as computed by E. B. Dynkin for the case of characteristic zero in [5], [6] and [4]. The principal result of course is that, for not-necessarily-commutative variables x and y in an associative algebra over a characteristic zero field, the series $\log(e^x e^y)$ can be expressed as a formal infinite series of brackets of x and y, brackets of brackets of x and y, etc., all with coefficients given by rational numbers.

The details are important to us; we will claim later that these arguments apply just as well to the characteristic $p > 0$ setting, under some additional assumptions that disallow the appearance of any rational numbers with denominators divisible by p.

In what follows we shall follow Dynkin in using the less cumbersome notation $x \circ y = xy - yx$ for the commutator operator instead of $[x, y]$. As $\circ$ is by no means associative, when we write $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \ldots \circ x_n$, we shall take it to be *left*-nested; e.g., $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ x_3 \circ x_4 \overset{\text{def}}{=} (((x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3) \circ x_4)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Define the formal infinite series*

$$e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \qquad \log(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}(x-1)^k$$

Then $\log(e^x e^y)$ *can be written*

$$\sum \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{1}{p_1! q_1! \ldots p_k! q_k!} x^{p_1} y^{q_1} \ldots x^{p_k} y^{q_k}$$

where the summation runs over all tuples of non-negative integers $(p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_k, q_k)$ *with the property that* $p_i + q_i \neq 0$ *(the length* $2k$ *of the tuples vary arbitrarily).*

Proof. The trick is in collecting the terms correctly. Write

$$e^x e^y = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \frac{x^s y^{r-s}}{s!(r-s)!}$$

and hence

$$\log(e^x e^y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \frac{x^s y^{r-s}}{s!(r-s)!} \right)^k$$

An induction argument shows that, for fixed k,

$$\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{r} \frac{x^s y^{r-s}}{s!(r-s)!} \right)^k = \sum_{\substack{(p_1, q_1, \ldots, p_k, q_k) \\ p_i + q_i \neq 0}} \frac{1}{p_1! q_1! \ldots p_k! q_k!} x^{p_1} y^{q_1} \ldots x^{p_k} y^{q_k}$$

whence summing over all k we get

$$\sum \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{1}{p_1!q_1!\dots p_k!q_k!} x^{p_1} y^{q_1} \dots x^{p_k} y^{q_k}$$

□

From this expression we write

$$\log(e^x e^y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_m(x,y) \tag{4.1}$$

where $P_m(x,y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m; for example, $P_1(x,y) = x+y$, $P_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(xy - yx)$, and $P_3(x,y) = \frac{1}{12}x^2y - \frac{1}{6}xyx + \frac{1}{12}xy^2 + \frac{1}{12}y^2x + \frac{1}{12}yx^2 - \frac{1}{6}yxy$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero and let R be the free associative (and non-commutative) algebra over k on the generators x and y. Define a linear mapping ϕ from R to itself as follows: it sends the monomial $x_1x_2\dots x_n$ to

$$\frac{1}{n} x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \dots \circ x_n$$

(here the x_i can be either of x or y). For example, $\phi(x^2y + x + 2xyx) = \frac{1}{3}x \circ x \circ y + x + \frac{2}{3}x \circ y \circ x$.

Proposition 4.2. *Any bracket expression can be written as a linear combination of left-nested bracket expressions, all of length no greater than the original, and with no new coefficients up to perhaps a negation.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to prove that, if P and Q themselves are left-nested brackets, then $P \circ Q$ can be written as a linear combination of left-nested brackets. Let $\phi(n)$ be the statement "if P is a left-nested bracket of any length, and if Q is a left-nested bracket of length $\leq n$, then $P \circ Q$ can be written as a linear combination of left-nested brackets". Certainly $\phi(1)$ is true. Now suppose that $\phi(n)$ is true, and let P be any left-nested bracket, $Q \circ x$ a left-nested bracket expression of length $n+1$, so necessarily Q is left-nested of length n. Then (by Jacobi and anti-commutativity)

$$\begin{aligned} P \circ (Q \circ x) &= -Q \circ (x \circ P) - x \circ (P \circ Q) \\ &= (x \circ P) \circ Q + (P \circ Q) \circ x \\ &= -(P \circ x) \circ Q + (P \circ Q) \circ x \end{aligned}$$

$P \circ x$ is left-nested, and since $\phi(n)$ is true, $-(P \circ x) \circ Q$ is a linear combination of left-nested brackets. The same can be said of $P \circ Q$, whence (by linearity of $\circ$) $(P \circ Q) \circ x$ is a linear combination of left-nested brackets, whence $P \circ (Q \circ x)$ is also a linear combination of left-nested brackets. □

Proposition 4.3. *If $x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \dots \circ x_n$ is any left-nested bracket expression, then $\phi(x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \dots \circ x_n) = x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \dots \circ x_n$.*

Proof. See pages 31-32 of [6]. □

The proposition predicts, for example, that $\phi(x \circ y \circ z) = \phi(xyz - yxz - zxy + zyx) = \frac{1}{3}(x \circ y \circ z) - \frac{1}{3}y \circ x \circ z - \frac{1}{3}z \circ x \circ y + \frac{1}{3}z \circ y \circ x) = x \circ y \circ z$.

Proposition 4.4. *The homogeneous polynomials $P_i(x, y)$ in formula 4.1 can all be written as linear combinations (using only rational numbers) of nested commutators of x and y.*

Proof. See [4]. □

This last proposition, along with propositions 4.2 and 4.3 give

Proposition 4.5. *If $P_i(x, y)$ is any of the homogeneous polynomials in formula 4.1, then $\phi(P_i(x, y)) = P_i(x, y)$.*

This proposition gives us not only the assurance that each $P_i(x, y)$ can be written as a rational linear combination of bracket expressions in x and y, but gives us an explicit method for doing so. For example, $P_2(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}xy - \frac{1}{2}yx$, and if we were so dull as to not realize it, we merely apply ϕ to yield

$$P_2(x, y) = \phi(P_2(x, y)) = \frac{1}{4}x \circ y - \frac{1}{4}y \circ x = \frac{1}{4}x \circ y + \frac{1}{4}x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}x \circ y$$

With a view towards proving part (1) of theorem 1.3, we make the following simple observations concerning all of this.

Proposition 4.6. *Let p be a prime.*

1. *If $m < p$, then $P_m(x, y)$ contains no coefficients whose denominators are divisible by p.*
2. *If $m < p$, then $\phi(P_m(x, y))$ also contains no coefficients whose denominators are divisible by p.*
3. *Let X and Y be members of a nilpotent matrix Lie algebra over a field k of characteristic p, of nilpotent order no greater than p, and suppose that X and Y themselves are nilpotent of order no greater than p. Then*

$$\log(e^X e^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} P_i(x, y)$$

Proof. From the description of the series for $\log(e^x e^y)$ given in 4.1 we glean

$$P_m(x, y) = \sum \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \frac{1}{p_1!q_1! \dots p_k!q_k!} x^{p_1} y^{q_1} \dots x^{p_k} y^{q_k}$$

where the summation is take over all tuples of non-negative integers, of whatever length, with the property that $p_i + q_i > 0$, and that $\sum_{i,j} p_i + q_i = m$. As $m < p$, clearly we can have no tuple with some p_i or q_i divisible by p, and neither can we have any $k \geq p$, and claim (1) is established.

For claim (2), simply realize that the only difference in the coefficients for $P_m(x, y)$ and the equivalent expression $\phi(P_m(x, y))$ is a multiplication by a factor of $\frac{1}{m}$ for each coefficient, which again cannot contribute any denominators divisible by p.

For (3), since X and Y are nilpotent of order $\leq p$, both the series e^X and e^Y are well-defined. Further, since X and Y belong to a nilpotent Lie algebra of order $\leq p$, any bracket expressions among X and Y of length p or more vanish; accordingly, as $P_m(X, Y) = \phi(P_m(X, Y))$ consists solely of bracket expressions of length m, we conclude that $P_m(X, Y)$ vanishes for all $m \geq p$, whence $\log(e^X e^Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} P_i(x, y)$. □

5. The Main Theorem: Sufficiency

In this section we prove part (1) of theorem 1.3.

To start, we would like to know when a given Lie algebra homomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{u}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_d$, over whatever field, can be lifted to a representation of U_n. For this, we ask how much of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula do we need to actually be true in this setting. The following is an obvious adaptation of analogous facts for characteristic zero fields.

Proposition 5.1. *Let k be any field, and let $\phi : \mathfrak{u}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_d$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. Suppose that*

1. *The series $e^{\phi(X)}$ "makes sense" for all $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n$*
2. *The series $\log(g)$ "makes sense" for all $g \in U_n$, and $\log(g)$ is a member of $\mathfrak{u}_n$*
3. *For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_n$, $\log(e^X e^Y)$ exists, denoted as $BCH(X, Y)$. Further, $BCH(X, Y)$ can be written $\underline{\text{uniformly}}$ (the same for all X and Y in $\mathfrak{u}_n$) as a $\underline{\text{finite}}$ linear combination of brackets of X and Y, brackets of brackets of X and Y, etc.*
4. *For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_n$, $\log(e^{\phi(X)} e^{\phi(Y)})$ exists, and can be written $\underline{\text{uniformly}}$ as $BCH(\phi(X), \phi(Y))$ as in (3)*

Then the formula $\Phi(g) \overset{\text{defn}}{=} e^{\phi(\log(g))}$ defines a d-dimensional representation of U_n over k.

Proof. Let $g, h \in U_n$, and by (2) let $X = \log(g)$, $Y = \log(h)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(gh) &= \Phi(e^X e^Y) \\
&= \Phi(e^{\mathrm{BCH}(X,Y)}) \quad \text{by (3)} \\
&= e^{\phi(\mathrm{BCH}(X,Y))} \quad \text{by definition of } \Phi \\
&= e^{\mathrm{BCH}(\phi(X),\phi(Y))} \quad \text{because } \phi \text{ preserves brackets} \\
&= e^{\phi(X)} e^{\phi(Y)} \quad \text{by (4)} \\
&= \Phi(e^X)\Phi(e^Y) \quad \text{by definition of } \Phi \\
&= \Phi(g)\Phi(h)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.2. *Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and suppose $p \geq max(n, d)$. Let $\phi : \mathfrak{u}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_d$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism such that $\phi(X)$ is a nilpotent matrix for each $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n$. Then the formula*

$$\Phi(g) = e^{\phi(\log(g))}$$

defines a d-dimensional representation of U_n.

Proof. We shall go through the checklist of proposition 5.1 to see that they are all satisfied.

(1): The expression $e^{\phi(X)}$ makes sense since $\phi(X)$ is nilpotent, of order no greater than $d \leq p$ (that is, the series expansion for $e^{\phi(X)}$ terminates before getting to see denominators divisible by p).

(2): Every $g \in U_n$ is unipotent, whence $g-1$ is nilpotent of order no greater than $n \leq p$, whence the series $\log(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k}(g-1)^k$ likewise terminates before denominators divisible by p occur.

(3): $\mathfrak{u}_n$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra of order n, and each $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n$ is itself nilpotent. Apply part 3. of proposition 4.6.

(4): As ϕ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, its image is also a nilpotent Lie algebra, of nilpotent order no greater than $n \leq p$. Again apply part 3. of proposition 4.6.

□

Recall that, if $M(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is a matrix with entries taken from the algebra $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in the commuting indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, then $M^{[m]}$ denotes the matrix $M(x_1^m, \ldots, x_n^m)$.

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose (a_{ij}) is the matrix formula for a representation of U_n over a field k of characteristic p, with comodule map $V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes A_n$. Then $(a_{ij})^{[p]}$ is also a representation of U_n, with comodule map given by he composition $V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes A_n \xrightarrow{1 \otimes [p]} A_n$, where $A_n \xrightarrow{[p]} A_n$ is the linear map which carries each monomial to its p^{th} power.*

Proof. Consider

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"'] & V \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "1\otimes[p]"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes\Delta"] & V \otimes A \arrow[d, "1\otimes\Delta"] \\
V \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "\rho\otimes 1"'] \arrow[d, "1\otimes[p]"'] & V \otimes A_n \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "1\otimes[p]\otimes[p]"] \arrow[d, "1\otimes 1\otimes[p]"] & V \otimes A_n \otimes A_n \arrow[d, "1"] \\
V \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "\rho\otimes 1"'] & V \otimes A_n \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "1\otimes[p]\otimes 1"'] & V \otimes A \otimes A
\end{tikzcd}$$

Commutativity of the outermost rectangle is the assertion that the map $V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes A_n \xrightarrow{1\otimes[p]} V \otimes A_n$ is a valid A_n-comodule structure on V, and is what we are trying to prove. Commutativity of the top left square follows since ρ is a comodule map, and commutativity of the bottom left and bottom right squares is obvious. What remains to check is the top right square, i.e.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_n \arrow[r, "{[p]}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & A_n \arrow[d, "\Delta"] \\
A_n \otimes A_n \arrow[r, "{[p]\otimes[p]}"'] & A_n \otimes A_n
\end{tikzcd}$$

which the reader can verify by hand.

□

Theorem 5.4. *Suppose that $p \geq max(n,d)$, and let k be a field of characteristic p. Let $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_m$ be a collection of Lie algebra representations $\mathfrak{u}_n \to \mathfrak{gl}_d$ such that*

(1) *$\phi_i(X)$ is a nilpotent matrix for all i and all $X \in \mathfrak{u}_n$*
(2) *For all $i \neq j$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}_n$, $\phi_i(X)$ commutes with $\phi_j(Y)$*

For $g \in U_n$ and $0 \leq i \leq m$, define

$$\Phi_i(g) = e^{\phi_i(\log(g))}$$

Then the formula

$$\Phi(g) = \Phi_0(g)\Phi_1(g)^{[p]} \dots \Phi_m(g)^{[p^m]}$$

defines a representation of U_n over k.

Proof. Theorem 5.2 guarantees that each Φ_i is a representation, and the previous lemma says that so is $\Phi_i(g)^{[p]}$. As $\log(g)$ is an element of $\mathfrak{u}_n$ and as ϕ_i, ϕ_j commute when $i \neq j$, so do $\Phi_i(g)$ and $\Phi_j(g)$, and hence so do $\Phi_i(g)^{[p^i]}$ and $\Phi_j(g)^{[p^j]}$. Any commuting product of representations of an algebraic group is again a representation, whence Φ is a representation of U_n. □

Part (1) of theorem 1.3 is now proved. The main theorem of this paper is now proved.

6. Analogies with direct products in characteristic zero

The representation theory of direct products of algebraic groups, over any field, can be summed up as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *Let k be any field, G, H algebraic groups over k, A the representing Hopf algebra of G, B the representing Hopf algebra of H.*

(1) *Let (c_{ij}) be the matrix formula for a representation of $G \times H$, with $c_{ij} \in A \otimes B$, and denote by (a_{ij}) and (b_{ij}) the induced representations on G and H respectively via the canonical embeddings $G, H \to G \times H$. Then (c_{ij}) can be factored into the commuting product*

$$(a_{ij} \otimes 1)(1 \otimes b_{ij})$$

(2) *Any commuting pair of representations for G and H yields a representation for $G \times H$ according to the above formula.*

Further, $\phi : V \to W$ is a morphism for the representations V and W for $G \times H$ if and only if it is both a morphism between V and W restricted to G, and for V and W restricted to H.

Proof. See for example chapter 11 of [1]. □

On the other hand, we have

Theorem 6.2. *Let k be a field of characteristic p, and suppose $p \geq max(n, 2d)$. Let Φ, Ψ be representations of U_n on the vector spaces V, W respectively, of dimension no greater than d, and so necessarily of the form*

$$\Phi(g) = \Phi_0(g)\Phi_1(g) \dots \Phi_m(g)$$
$$\Psi(g) = \Psi_0(g)\Psi_1(g) \dots \Psi_m(g)$$

as in theorem 1.3. Then the linear map $\phi : V \to W$ is a morphism between Φ and Ψ if and only if it is a morphism between Φ_0 and Ψ_0, between Φ_1 and Ψ_1, …, and between Φ_m and Ψ_m.

Proof. See theorem 10.1.1 of [1]. □

Thus we see that our analogy between the large characteristic representation theory of U_n and the representation theory of U_n^∞ in characteristic zero is far from superficial.

We can say even more. Denote by $\mathrm{Rep}_k U_n$ the category of finite dimensional representations of the algebraic group U_n over the field k. If k_i is any sequence of fields of strictly increasing positive characteristic, denote by $\prod_H \mathrm{Rep}_{k_i} U_n$ the 'height-restricted ultraproduct' of the categories $\mathrm{Rep}_{k_i} U_n$ (see section 7.2 and chapter 14 of [1]). In the author's dissertation it is proven that $\prod_H \mathrm{Rep}_{k_i} U_n$ is itself the category representations for some affine group scheme over some field, and in fact

Theorem 6.3. *Let k_i be a sequence of fields of strictly increasing positive. Then $\prod_H Rep_{k_i} U_n$ is tensorially equivalent, as neutral tannakian categories, to $Rep_{\prod k_i} U_n^\infty$, where U_n^∞ denotes a countable direct product of copies of U_n, and $\prod k_i$ is the ultraproduct of the fields k_i (which is necessarily a field of characteristic zero).*

Proof. This is proven for the case of U_3 (there called H_1) in theorem 14.0.6 of [1]. Given the main theorem of the present paper, the reader should be able to convince himself that the proof given there applies equally well to U_n for any n. $\square$

Finally, we have the following generic cohomology result.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $G = U_n$, let $h \in \mathbb{N}$, and let M and N be modules for G over $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that the computation $dimExt^{1,h}_{G^h(k)}(M,N) = m$ (height-restricted generic cohomology; see definition 15.2.1 of* [1]*) is both finite and the same for any characteristic zero field k. Then if k_i is any sequence of fields of increasing positive characteristic, the computation*

$$dimExt^{1,h}_{G(k_i)}(M,N)$$

stabilizes to m for large enough i.

Proof. See the proof of theorem 15.2.3 of [1], where again it is proven for the case of U_2 and U_3, but with theorem 6.3 in hand applies equally well to every U_n. $\square$

Generic cohomology results for Ext^n, $n > 1$, have been so far elusive; we hope they will be forthcoming in the future (see section 15.3 of [1], and please contact the author if you have any ideas about it ;)).

7. Further Directions

Our proof of part (2) of theorem 1.3 relied essentially on the apparent nice and orderly nature of the representing Hopf algebra A_n of U_n; on the other hand, the proof of part (1) given in section 5, so far as we can tell, did not, and seems to apply equally well to almost any unipotent algebraic group. The author's current knowledge of arbitrary unipotent algebraic groups is at the moment lacking, so he hesitates to make any bold claim concerning this; but it is certainly worth pursuing.

Assuming, then, that the arguments used to prove part (1) theorem 1.3 apply equally well to an arbitrary algebraic group, should not there exist an argument to prove (some version of) part (2) as well? Theorem 6.3 makes it clear that, while on the surface theorem 1.3 is explicitly about the internal structure of certain U_n-modules, at heart it is really a *categorical* result; that is, it is as much a statement about the ambient category $\mathrm{Rep}_k U_n$ as it is about the internal structure of any particular U_n-module. This leads us to believe that a purely categorical/model theoretic proof of theorem 6.3 should exist, one which would hopefully apply equally well to an arbitrary unipotent algebraic group, and would give us all we really need as far as questions of large scale cohomology are concerned. We hope that such insights will be forthcoming in a later paper.

8. Acknowledgements

The author would like to sincerely thank his thesis advisor Paul R Hewitt, under whose direction and advice theorem 1.2 originally appeared, which was the primary motivation for this paper. Thanks also to Dave Hemmer for his thoughtful advice and encouragement, and to Chris Bendel for his kind reading of a previous version of this paper and his thoughtful suggestions.

References

[1] Michael Crumley. *Ultraproducts of Tannakian Categories and Generic Representation Theory of Unipotent Algebraic Groups*. PhD thesis, The University of Toledo, Department of Mathematics, 2010.

[2] Michael Crumley. Generic representation theory of the heisenberg group. *arxiv.org*, May 2011.

[3] Nastasescu Dascalescu and Raianu. *Hopf Algebras: An Introduction*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

[4] E. B. Dynkin. On the representation of the series $\log(e^x e^y)$ for non-commutative x and y by commutators. *Mat. Sbornik*, 25(67):155–162, 1949.

[5] E. B. Dynkin. *Lie Groups*, chapter Normed Lie Algebras and Analytic Groups, pages 481–485. Translations, Series One. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1962.

[6] E. B. Dynkin. *Selected Papers of E. B. Dynkin*, chapter Calculation of the coefficients in the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, pages 31–35. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2000.

[7] A Suslin E M Friedlander and C P Bendel. Infinitesimal 1-parameter subgroups and cohomology. *Journal of the AMS*, 10(3):693–728, July 1997.

[8] Jens Carsten Jantzen. *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, volume 131 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1987.

[9] William C. Waterhouse. *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

Department of Mathematics, The University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 43606
E-mail address: `mikecrumley@hotmail.com`